## **United States Court of Appeals**

FIFTH CIRCUIT OFFICE OF THE CLERK

CHARLES R. FULBRUGE III CLERK TEL. 504-310-7700 600 S. MAESTRI PLACE NEW ORLEANS, LA 70130

August 6, 2007

MEMORANDUM TO COUNSEL OR PARTIES LISTED BELOW

Regarding: Fifth Circuit Statement on Petitions for Rehearing or Rehearing En Banc

No. 06-30946 Chauvin v. State Farm Fire Cslt

USDC No. 2:06-CV-885 2:06-CV-518

2:05-CV-6888 2:05-CV-6887

2:06-CV-177 2:05-CV-6454

07-30033 Maziarz v. Auto Club Fam Ins Co

USDC No. 2:06-CV-6211

Enclosed is a copy of the court's decision. The court has entered judgment under FED. R. APP. P. 36. (However, the opinion may yet contain typographical or printing errors which are subject to correction.)

FED. R. APP. P. 39 through 41, and  $5^{\text{TH}}$  CIR. RULES 35, 39, and 41 govern costs, rehearings, and mandates.  $5^{\text{TH}}$  CIR. RULES 35 and 40 require you to attach to your petition for panel rehearing or rehearing en banc an unmarked copy of the court's opinion or order. Please read carefully the Internal Operating Procedures (IOP's) following FED. R. APP. P. 40 and  $5^{\text{TH}}$  CIR. R. 35 for a discussion of when a rehearing may be appropriate, the legal standards applied and sanctions which may be imposed if you make a nonmeritorious petition for rehearing en banc.

<u>Direct Criminal Appeals</u>. 5<sup>TH</sup> CIR. R. 41 provides that a motion for a stay of mandate under FED. R. APP. P. 41 will not be granted simply upon request. The petition must set forth good cause for a stay or clearly demonstrate that a substantial question will be presented to the Supreme Court. Otherwise, this court may deny the motion and issue the mandate immediately.

<u>Pro Se Cases</u>. If you were unsuccessful in the district court and/or on appeal, and are considering filing a petition for <u>certiorari</u> in the United States Supreme Court, you do not need to file a motion for stay of mandate under FED. R. APP. P. 41. The issuance of the mandate does not affect the time, or your right, to file with the Supreme Court.

The judgment entered provides that appellants pay to appellees the costs on appeal.

Sincerely,

CHARLES R. FULBRUGE III, Clerk

y: James Kickey

Richey, Deputy Clerk

Enclosure Mr Andre P LaPlace Mr Jay M Lonero Mr Sean Patrick Mount Case: 06-31135 Document: 0051252826 Page: 2 Date Filed: 08/06/2007

- Mr Dominic J Ovella
- Mr Christopher Raymond Pennison
- Mr Daniel Michael Redmann
- Mr Gregory M Porobil
- Mr Wystan Michael Ackerman
- Ms Judy Y Barrasso
- Mr Stephen G Bullock
- Mr Richard Joseph Doren
- Ms Mary Lue Dumestre
- Ms Andrea Leigh Fannin
- Mr Richard L Fenton
- Mr Stephen E Goldman
- Mr Ralph S Hubbard III
- Mr Wayne J Lee
- Ms Heather Shauri Lonian
- Mr Daniel Winthrop Nelson
- Mr H Minor Pipes III
- Mr Harry M Reasoner
- Ms Susan M Rogge
- Ms Gwendolyn Johnson Samora
- Mr Seth Andrew Schmeeckle
- Mr Robert I Siegel
- Ms Marie Roach Yeates
- Mr Remy Voisin Starns
- Mr Allan B Berger
- Mr William Peter Connick Sr
- Mr Bruce L Feingerts
- Mr Patrick G Kehoe Jr
- Ms Andrea S Lestelle
- Mr Terrence J Lestelle
- Mr David Allen Parsiola
- Mr J Van Robichaux Jr
- Mr Jeffery Bryan Struckhoff Mr Thomas P Anzelmo Sr
- Mr William Thomas Barker
- Mr David I Bordelon
- Mr Levon G Hovnatanian
- Mr Kevin P Kamraczewski
- Mr Christopher Weldon Martin
- Mr Martin R Sadler
- Mr Matthew J Ungarino
- Mr Allen Helwick Borne Jr
- Ms Ginger K DeForest
- Mr William Harry Eckert